| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 10/727,818 | HEROD ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | MATTHEW J. DANIELS | 1791 | |

**All Participants:**

(1) *MATTHEW J. DANIELS*.

(2) *Edwin Keusey*.

**Status of Application:** *Allowance*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *16 July 2008*

**Time:** *afternoon*

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:
*None*

Claims discussed:
*1*

Prior art documents discussed:
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
*The enclosed examiner's amendment was proposed for clarification of the claim. Mr. Keusey agreed to the proposed changes.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew J. Daniels/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)